

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,434 04/05/2004		Teruaki Nagai	40424-200783	1289	
26694 ·	7590 04/10/2007	EXAMINER			
VENABLE LLP P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			NICHOLSON III, LESLIE AUGUST		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3651		
				DEL HIEDY MODE	
			MAIL DATE	. DELIVERY MODE	
		•	04/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/817,434	NAGAI, TERUAKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Leslie A. Nicholson III	3651	

	Leslie A. Nicholson III	3651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	"	CI - A - MET - A A	646				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exteasion a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ACSUSA				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further comparison.  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beat (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beat appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mphant Amendment	(1 102-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wiovided below or appended.	II be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>14-18</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-13 and 19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.							
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	LE O. CHAWFORD					
	SUPERVIS	ORY DATENT EXAL	MINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11 does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has not amended over the prior art of record. Applicant argues intermediate conveyor 124a is not circulating. In response, circulating is defined as "to pass from place to place". It does not necessarily mean that the conveyor revolves. Applicant further argues the feeder 124 and transfer guide means are not positioned in alignment with a second conveying section. In response, these elements must be in alignment with one another. Otherwise, the signatures would not reach conveyor 117, rather, they would fall to the ground if not in alignment.